Rearrangements of Linear Triquinanes to the Angular Isomers

James M. MacDougall¹ and Harold W. Moore*

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, California 92697-2025

Received May 7, 1999

Warming substituted linear triquinanes in *t*-BuOK/*t*-BuOH resulted in their isomerization to the corresponding angularly fused isomers in good yield (59-84%). An alternate route employs a base-catalyzed intramolecular Michael addition of bicyclo[6.3.0]undecenediones, a class of compounds found to be readily available from 1-alkenylbicyclo[3.2.0]hepten-7-ones via a "one-pot" sequence of reactions. This method is complementary to the isomerization reaction since it provides access to angular triquinanes not readily available from their linear isomers.

Introduction

Reported here are the details of new methodology applicable for the general synthesis of highly substituted angularly fused polyquinanes 7 (Scheme 1). Two related generalized methods starting with squaric acid-derived 4-allylcyclobutenones 1 are presented. One involves a base-catalyzed isomerization of readily available linear triguinanes 4, which are obtained from a tandem oxy-Cope ring expansion of 1-alkenylbicyclo[3.2.0]hepten-7ones 2 to the intermediate bicyclo[6.3.0]undecenones 3 followed by transannular ring closure upon aqueous workup.^{2,3} Subsequent treatment of these with potassium tert-butoxide in tert-butyl alcohol induces their rearrangement to the angular isomers 7, a transformation envisaged to involve formation and equilibration of the enolates 5 and 6 followed by an intramolecular Michael addition of the enolate ion in 6 to the cyclopentenone moiety. The second related method employs a new "onepot" synthesis of bicyclo[6.3.0]undecenediones 8 from 1 and their conversion to the angular triquinanes upon treatment with sodium methoxide in methanol, a reaction that also proceeds via the enolate 6.4

Results and Discussion

Preparation of 1-Alkenylbicyclo[3.2.0]hepten-7-ones. The 1-alkenylbicyclo[3.2.0]hepten-7-ones needed for these studies were prepared from cyclobutenedione monoketals **9a,b** or cyclobutenediones **9c,d** (Scheme 2).⁵⁻⁸ Addition of an allyl Grignard reagent to **9a,b** or to the more electrophilic carbonyl of **9c,d** followed by acid

- (6) Liu, H.; Gayo, L.; Sullivan, R. W.; Choi, Y. H.; Moore, H. W. J.
- (7) Xu, S. L.; Yerxa, B.; Sullivan, R. W.; Moore, H. W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 1129.
- (8) The ring expansion chemistry of cyclobutenones has been reviewed: Moore, H. W.; Yerxa, B. R. *Adv. Strain Org. Chem.* **1995**, *4*, 81–162.



hydrolysis gave 4-allylcyclobutenones 10a-f.⁹ The lower yield of 10d, e, f, as compared to 10a, b, c is due to the fact that they originate from 9c, d, and here the allylation reaction suffers some diaddition. For example, the diol resulting from treatment of 9d with 2-methyl-2-prope-

Present address: Fluorochem Inc., 680 S. Ayon Ave., Azusa, CA.
 For reviews, see: (a) Mehta, G. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *97*, 671. (b)
 Paquette, L. A.; Doherty, A. M. Polyquinane Chemistry. *Reactivity and Structure Concepts in Organic Chemistry*, Springer-Verlag: New York, 1987; Vol. 26.

⁽³⁾ For a preliminary account of this work, see: MacDougall, J. M.; Moore, H. W. J. Org. Chem. **1997**, 62, 4712.

⁽⁴⁾ For reviews on cyclooctanoids, see: Petasis, N. A.; Patane, M. A. *Tetrahedron* **1992**, *48*, 5757.

^{(5) (}a) Santora, V. J.; Moore, H. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117,
8486. (b) MacDougall, J. M.; Santora, V. J.; Verma, S. K.; Turnbull,
P.; Hernandez, C. R.; Moore, H. W. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6905.

^{(9) (}a) Xu, S. L. Moore, H. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 6018. (b) Xia, H.; Xu, S. L.; Moore, H. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 6094.





^a One asterisk indicates ref 5b. Two asterisks indicate ref 9a.

nylmagnesium bromide was isolated in 21% yield along with the monoadduct **10d** in 37% yield.

Trimethylsilyl protection of the hydroxyl group in **10a-f** gave **11a-f**, which were directly thermolyzed (toluene, 110 °C).^{5,9} This results in their electrocyclic ring opening to the intermediate vinylketenes 12a-f and subsequent intramolecular [2+2] cycloaddition to provide 1-alkenylbicyclo[3.2.0]hepten-7-ones 13a-f in high yield.¹⁰ Interestingly, ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixtures obtained upon treatment of 10a,d with TMSCl and NEt₃ and aqueous bicarbonate workup indicated the presence of trace amounts of the corresponding 1-ethenylbicyclo[3.2.0]hepten-7-ones 13a,d, suggesting that the barrier to rearrangement of **11** to **13** is reduced by the presence of the methyl group ($R_3 = Me$) on the 4-allyl side chain. Such a result is anticipated if the intramolecular [2+2] cycloaddition of the vinylketene 12 is rate limiting and involves some polar or diradical character in the transition state.



^a The asterisk indicates ref 5b.

Preparation of the Linear Triquinanes. Treatment of **13a**–**f** with an alkenyllithium reagent induced an oxy-Cope rearrangement, thus leading to the intermediate enolates **14a**–**f** (Scheme 3).⁵ Workup of the reaction using aqueous sodium bicarbonate resulted in enolate protonation followed by desilylation and concomitant transannular ring closure to give the linear triquinanes **15a**– **h**. Alternatively, treatment of **14c**–**f** with methyl iodide followed by aqueous bicarbonate gave the 6-methyl derivatives **16a**–**d** along with minor amounts of the C₆ epimers.

Rearrangements of Linear to Angular Triquinanes. Treatment of the linear triquinanes **15a**,**c**,**d**,**e**,**g**,**h** with potassium *tert*-butoxide in warm (35 °C) *tert*-butyl alcohol caused their rearrangement to the respective angular isomers **17a**,**c**,**d**,**e**,**g**,**h** in yields ranging from 59% to 84% (Scheme 4). Under analogous conditions **16a**,**b**,**d** gave, respectively, **18a**,**b**,**d** in 82–84% (Scheme 5). It is of interest to note that any equilibration greatly favors the angular isomers since the reactions were terminated (20 min to 3 h) when the starting linear triquinanes could not be detected by TLC and/or ¹H NMR analyses of the crude reaction mixtures.

Structure assignments of the angular triquinanes are based upon their characteristic spectral properties as well as a single-crystal X-ray structure of the major diastereomer of **18a**. The ¹³C NMR spectra of all angular triquinanes display two downfield carbonyl absorptions (δ 213–222) and no alkene carbon absorptions. The ¹H NMR spectra of compounds **18a**,**b** and **17a**,**c**,**g**,**h** show a characteristic doublet for the C-1 methyl group at δ 1.05–1.00 (J=7 Hz). The spectra of **17d**, **17e**, and **18d** display a downfield methine proton at δ 3.65–3.60 (d, ⁴J=1–2

⁽¹⁰⁾ For a review of intramolecular ketene/alkene cycloadditions see: Snider, B. B. *Chem. Rev.* **1989**, *88*, 793.

Scheme 4^a

3 h 78%

3h 63%

> 1 h 81%

> > 1h

64%

2 h

84%







17c (β : α = 3:1)

C

 \cap





15e

сн₃

15g

ÒН

CH₃



CH₃O



^a Conditions: t-BuOH/t-BuOK, 35 °C.

<u>C</u>H₃

CH₃

Hz). In most instances the stereochemistry at position 1 of the angular triquinanes was determined by 1D NOE studies.

The following points concerning these rearrangements warrant further discussion: (1) The relatively rapid rearrangements of compounds **16a**,**b**,**d** indicate that the $C_{6\beta}$ methyl group facilitates the rearrangement. This is postulated to result from steric acceleration accompanying the release of unfavorable nonbonding interaction between the C_6 methyl group and the C_7 methylene group during the retroaldol fragmentation step. (2) A π -donating methoxyl group on the enone double bond of the starting linear triquinane has little effect on the efficiency or rate of the rearrangement as indicated by comparing the reaction time of, for example, 16a with 16d, both of which take approximately 20 min for completion and give >80% yield of the corresponding angular triquinane. Thus, the Michael addition step is kinetically fast compared to the retroaldol step. (3) The rearrangement of **16b** to **18b** is particularly noteworthy, since this product, bearing angular methyl groups at C_{3a} and C_{5a}, may serve as a valuable advanced intermediate in natural product



Figure 1. Examples of naturally occurring angular triguinanes.



^a Conditions: t-BuOH/t-BuOK, 35 °C.

syntheses., e.g., isocomene² (Figure 1). (4) In the absence of a C_{3a} angular methyl group, the major angular triquinane diastereomers possess a β -oriented C₁ group, while the presence of a C_{3a} methyl group results in a preference for the C_1 α -diastereomer. For examples, compare 17a to 17c and 17g to 17h. Additionally, a severe steric interaction apparently exists between an α -disposed C₁ group and the C_{5a} methyl group. This results in a preference for the β -diastereomer for those cases even when position 3a is unsubstituted. This is supported by a comparison of the observed diastereoselectivities in, for example, the rearrangements of 16a to 18a and 15a to 17a. The former gives predominantly the β -isomer, and the latter leads mainly to the α -isomer.

A limitation to the above rearrangement was encountered for those linear triquinanes bearing geminal dimethyl substitution at position 4. For example, treatment of 15b under the conditions employed above (t-BuOK/t-BuOH, 35 °C) gave the dehydrated linear triquinane 19 in 50% yield as the only isolable product (Scheme 6). Under more forcing conditions (KOH, H₂O, THF, 120 °C), the angular isomer **17b** was realized, but in poor yield; e.g., 19 was obtained in 12% yield and 17b in only 24% yield. Analogously, subjecting 15f to these vigorous



 a Conditions: (a) t-BuOK, t-BuOH, reflux; (b) KOH, H2O, THF, 120 °C; (c) t-BuOK, t-BuOH, 35 °C.

conditions gave the dehydrated linear triquinane **20** in 22% yield and the angular triquinanes **17f** in 32%. The failure of **15b** and **15f** to efficiently rearrange to their angular isomers is likely due to unfavorable van der Waals interactions between the 4,4-dimethyl groups and the 3-methyl or 3-methoxy substituents that manifest themselves during the retroaldol step. That it is due to unfavorable interactions during the Michael addition step was ruled out by experiments outlined subsequently.

Still another limitation is reflected by the observation that linear triquinanes bearing a β -methyl group at position 6 readily rearrange to the corresponding angular isomers while the α -epimers do not. For example, when a 1:7 mixture of, respectively, α - and β -epimers of **16c** was treated with potassium *tert*-butoxide in *tert*-butyl alcohol, a 50% isolated yield of the angular triquinane **18c** was obtained along with 7% of the unreacted α -epimer **16c** α (Scheme 6). The reason for this selectivity is not well understood but may simply reflect a steric protection of the C-6a hydroxyl group by the adjacent C-6 β -methyl group.

The poor yield encountered for the rearrangement of linear triquinanes bearing a *gem*-dimethyl group at position 4 (e.g., **15d,f**) was disappointing since the resulting angular triquinanes would be valuable synthetic intermediates in natural product syntheses; e.g., such a substitution pattern is found in pentalenene and pentalenic acid (Figure 1). Fortunately, this limitation was circumvented by the experiments outlined below. Specifically, triquinane **17b** could be obtained in high yield (>93%) from the intramolecular Michael addition reaction of bicyclo[6.3.0]undecenedione **23** upon treatment with MeONa/MeOH (Scheme 7).

For the above transformation to be synthetically viable, it was necessary to develop a good synthetic route to bicyclo[6.3.0]undecenediones such as **23**. This presented a challenge since, as noted above, simply treating **13b** with vinyllithium followed by an aqueous NaHCO₃ quench gave the linear triquinane **15b** (69%). When an acidic quench was employed, **23** was obtained but only as a minor product. It was, however, reasoned that **23** might be obtained from the bis(silyl enol ether) **21**, a compound in which the two enol ether moieties are differentiated. The TMS enol ether might be selectively deprotected to give **22**, a compound that could not undergo the transannular ring closure to the triquinane. Subsequent desilylation of **22** would then lead to the desired **23**. This was accomplished in a one-pot sequence of reactions as outlined below.

Treatment of 1-(2-methylpropenyl)bicyclo[3.2.0]hepten-7-one **13b** with vinyllithium followed by a triisopropylsilyl triflate (TIPSOTf) quench gave the bis(silyl enol ether) **21**, which was not isolated but treated directly with potassium carbonate in methanol to give **22** via selective removal of the TMS group.¹¹ A final quench of the reaction mixture with tri-*n*-butylammonium fluoride (TBAF) and an aqueous workup gave cyclooctanoid **23** in 68% overall yield from **13b** along with a 14% yield of the linear triquinane **15b**. The formation of intermediates **21** and **22** was supported by spectral analysis of aliquots taken over the course of the transformations. For example, key absorptions in the ¹H NMR spectrum of **21** were observed for the silyl enol ether protons at δ 4.73 (s) and δ 4.87 (t, J = 9.5 Hz).

In a similar manner **24** was prepared in 67% overall yield from **13a**. This cyclooctanoid, like **23**, was observed to undergo facile transannular Michael cyclization to the corresponding angular triquinane **17a** (84%) upon heating with sodium methoxide in methanol.

It is noteworthy that the preparation of the angular triquinane **17b** from **23** carries both synthetic and mechanistic importance. For example, preparation of **22** documents an efficient one-pot procedure for the synthesis of bicyclo[6.3.0]undecenones from readily available 1-alkenylbicyclo[3.2.0]hepten-7-ones. In addition, the efficiency of the ring closure of **23** to **17b** reveals that the problematic step in the isomerization of the 4,4-dimethyl-substituted linear triquinane **15b** to its angular isomer **17b** rests in the retroaldol step and not in the transannular Michael addition step.

Conclusions

In conclusion we note the following significant points. (1) Of the numerous known methods for the construction of the triquinane ring system, none are amenable to the regiospecific synthesis of both angular and linear derivatives from a common starting material.² In contrast, the method outlined here documents a general approach for the regiospecific synthesis of functionalized angularly fused triguinanes from their linear counterparts and further displays the rich synthetic potential of cyclobutenone derivatives as valuable precursors to a variety of highly condensed ring systems. (2) The method is highly convergent and efficient and starts with readily available reagents. As an illustration, the generalized triquinane 7 translates to dimethylsquarate 25 and the organometallic reagents 26-29 (Scheme 8). (3) The value of cyclobutenone-derived 1-alkenylbicyclo[3.2.0]hepten-7ones was further realized by the discovery of an efficient



synthesis of bicyclo[6.3.0]undecenediones, a class of compounds that also serve as precursors to angular triquinanes.¹² (4) The method outlined here is particularly valuable for the synthesis of highly functionalized angular triquinanes. Selected naturally occurring examples are provided in Figure 1.

Experimental Section

General Methods. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 500 and 125 MHz, respectively, in CDCl₃ unless specified otherwise. All other general experimental information is provided in ref 5b.

3-Methoxy-4-(2-methyl)-1-propenyl-3-cyclobutene-1,2dione (9c). 1-Bromo-2-methyl-1-propene (1.87 mL, 18.3 mmol) was added to a -78 °C THF (100 mL) solution of t-BuLi (21.5 mL, 36.5 mmol), and after 30 min the colorless solution was transferred to a -78 °C THF (200 mL) solution of dimethylsquarate (2.00 g, 14.1 mmol) over 30 min. Trifluoroacetic anhydride (4.4 mL, 31.0 mmol) was added after 10 min, followed by water after an additional 10 min. The yellow mixture was warmed to rt and extracted with ether. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried (Na₂-SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography (2:1 hexanes/ EtOAc) provided 9c (1.4 g, 60%) as a yellow solid: mp, 48-49 °C; ¹H NMR δ 6.01 (m, 1H), 4.43 (s, 3H), 2.20 (d, J = 0.5 Hz, 3H), 1.99 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR δ 193.1, 192.5, 191.9, 176.5, 155.8, 112.5, 61.1, 27.5, 22.7; IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 1786, 1744; HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_9H_{10}O_3$ (M⁺) 166.0630, obsd 166.0631.

2-Ethenyl-4-hydroxy-3-methyl-4-(2-methyl-2-propenyl)-2-cyclobuten-1-one (10a). The Grignard reagent was formed by the dropwise addition of an ether (260 mL) solution of 3-chloro-2-methylpropene (25.9 mL, 262 mmol) to magnesium ribbon (5.5 g, 227 mmol) over 25 min. The Grignard reagent was then added over 20 min to a -78 °C solution of **9b** (1.62 g, 9.63 mmol) in THF (300 mL) until the green-yellow color of the solution had dissipated. This required 150 mL of the Grignard solution. Water (100 mL) was added, and the cooling bath was removed. The mixture was extracted with ether, washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The crude ketal intermediate was dissolved in THF (100 mL), cooled to 0 °C, and treated with HCl (1 M aqueous, 0.5 mL). After 40 min, NaHCO₃ (saturated aqueous, 50 mL) was added, and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc, washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography (2:1 hexanes/EtOAc) gave 10a (1.57 g, 92%) as a white crystalline solid: mp 46–47 °C; ¹H NMR δ 6.18 (dd, J = 17.6, 11.1 Hz, 1H), 5.98 (d, J = 17.6 Hz, 1H), 5.49 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (s, 1H), 4.85 (s, 1H), 3.09 (m, 1H), 2.59 (d, J = 13.8

⁽¹²⁾ For recent syntheses of the bicyclo[6.3.0]undecane ring system, see: (a) Castro, J.; Moyano, A.; Pericas, Riera, A. J. Org. Chem. **1998**, 63, 3346; (b) Snapper, M. L.; Tallarico, J. T.; Randall, M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, 119, 1478. (c) Furstner, A.; Langemann, K. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, 61, 8746.

Hz, 1H), 2.46 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.79 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR δ 193.2, 175.1, 146.1, 140.8, 123.8, 122.9, 115.9, 92.3, 42.1, 23.1, 11.0; IR (film, cm⁻¹) 3410, 3076, 1760, 1748, 1651, 1612, 1582; HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₁H₁₄O₂ (M⁺) 178.0994, obsd 178.0992.

2-Ethenyl-3-methyl-4-(2-methyl-2-propenyl)-4-[(trimethylsilyl)oxy]-2-cyclobuten-1-one (11a). To a THF (50 mL) solution of 10a (1.57 g, 8.81 mmol) were added NEt₃ (8.4 mL, 60.2 mmol) and TMSCl (7.4 mL, 58.3 mmol). A white precipitate of NEt₃·HCl formed immediately. After 7 d the vellow-white mixture was poured onto CH₂Cl₂ (80 mL) and NaHCO₃ (40 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with additional CH₂Cl₂, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The residue was immediately chromatographed (Florisil, 4:1 hexanes/EtOAc) to provide 11a (2.13 g, 97%) as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR δ 6.16 (dd, J = 18.0, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (dd, J = 18.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.46 (dd, J = 11.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (s, 1H), 4.72 (s, 1H), 2.51 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 0.09 (s, 9H); 13 C NMR δ 193.3, 176.6, 145.4, 141.3, 123.3, 123.0, 115.0, 95.3, 43.5, 23.5, 11.6, 1.2 (3C); IR (film, cm⁻¹) 3096, 3076, 1760, 1654; HRMS (isobutane CI) calcd for $C_{14}H_{22}SiO_2$ (M⁺) 250.1389, obsd 250.1393.

Representative Procedure for the Rearrangement of 4-Allylcyclobutenones to Bicyclo[3.2.0]heptenones. 1-Ethenyl-2-methyl-3-[(trimethylsilyl)oxy]bicyclo[3.2.0]hept-2-en-7-one (13a). A toluene (430 mL) solution of 11a (2.13 g, 8.52 mmol) was heated at reflux for 5 h, cooled to rt and concentrated to provide 13a (2.1 g, 97%) as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR δ 5.57 (dd, J = 17.5, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.35 (dd, J = 17.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (dd, J = 10.7, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 3.04 (d, J = 17.7, Hz, 1H), 2.76 (d, J = 17.7 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (dq, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (dq, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 2.43 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 1.46 (br s, 3H), 1.17 (s, 3H), 0.21 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR δ 208.3, 148.7, 132.3, 118.1, 113.4, 81.9, 57.7, 47.0, 35.8, 21.9, 9.4, 0.60 (3C); IR (film, cm⁻¹) 1770, 1672; HRMS (isobutane CI) calcd for C₁₄H₂₂SiO₂ (M⁺) 250.1389, obsd 250.1394.

Representative Procedure for the Oxy-Cope Rearrangement of 1-Alkenylbicyclo[3.2.0]hepten-7-ones to Linear Triquinanes. 1,3bα,4,5,6,6a,7,7aβ-Octahydro-6aαhydroxy-3-methoxy-1H-cyclopenta[a]pentalen-2-one (15e). A -78 °C THF (20 mL) solution of 13e (144 mg, 0.57 mmol) was treated with vinyllithium (0.57 mL, 0.68 mmol), and after 45 min the cooling bath was removed. After an additional 1.5 h NaHCO3 (saturated aqueous,10 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min. The mixture was extracted with ether, washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography (1:1 hexanes/EtOAc) provided **15e** (78 mg, 66%) as a white solid: mp, 91–92 °C; ¹H NMR δ 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.17 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 2.86-2.81 (m, 1H), 2.56-2.50 (br s overlapping dd, J = 18.5, 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.33-2.28 (m overlapping dd, $\hat{J} = 12.0$, 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.05 (dd, J = 18.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.99-1.95 (m, 1H), 1.85-1.76 (m, 2H), 1.70-1.64 (m, 1H), 1.54–1.46 (m, 1H), 1.33 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H); $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR δ 202.8, 155.2, 148.6, 93.0, 57.8, 52.4, 45.9, 42.3, 39.2, 38.4, 34.4, 26.7; IR (film, cm⁻¹) 3422, 1702, 1654, 1648; HRMS (isobutane CI) calcd for C₁₂H₁₆O₃ 208.1099 (M⁺), obsd 208.1095.

Representative Procedure for the Rearrangement of Linear Triquinanes to Their Angular Isomers. 1,3,3a β ,4,-5a α ,6,7,8-Octahydro-1 α -methyl-1*H*-cyclopenta[*c*]pentalene-2,5-dione (18c α) and 1,3,3a β ,4,5a α ,6,7,8-Octahydro-1 β -methyl-1*H*-cyclopenta[*c*]pentalene-2,5-dione (18c β). To a 35 °C *t*-BuOH (4.1 mL) solution of 15c (79 mg, 0.41 mmol) was added *t*-BuOK (127 mg, 1.13 mmol) in a single portion. After 3 h, NH₄Cl (saturated aqueous, 5 mL) and water (5 mL) were added, and the mixture was extracted with ether. The separated organic layers were washed with brine, dried (Na₂-SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography (1:1 hexanes/ EtOAc) provided 18c (49.4 mg, 63%) as white needles that consisted of a 2.9:1 mixture of diastereomers: ¹H NMR δ 2.77 (dd, J = 18.5, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (dd, J = 16.5, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.64-2.56 (m, 2H), 2.52-2.40 (m, 4H), 2.36 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.32-2.22 (m, 3H), 2.17 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.06-1.99(m, 1H), 1.97-1.84 (m, 4H), 1.82-1.66 (m, 5H), 1.61-1.54 (m, 1H), 1.49–1.36 (m, 2H), 1.04 (overlapping doublets, J = 7.0Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR δ 221.5, 220.1, 218.5, 217.5, 58.8, 58.6, 58.0, 54.1, 52.9, 49.7, 45.4, 44.9, 44.3, 41.6, 39.7, 38.1, 32.5, 32.0, 29.6, 26.3, 25.8, 9.5, 9.3 (the quaternary carbon of the minor diastereomer was not observed); IR (film, cm⁻¹) 1731; HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₂H₁₆O₂ (M⁺) 192.1150, obsd 192.1150. An analytical sample of the major diastereomer, $18c\beta$, was obtained as fine white needles by recrystallization from hexanes: mp, 84–85 °C; ¹H NMR δ 2.81 (ddd, J = 16.7, 10.0,1.5 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (dd, J = 16.7, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (dd, J = 18.5, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.39 (dq, J = 7.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 2.33 (d, J = 18.5Hz, 1H), 2.20 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.09–2.05 (m, 1H), 2.02– 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.86-1.74 (m, 3H), 1.53-1.45 (m, 1H), 1.08 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR δ 221.6, 217.7, 58.9, 54.2, 53.0, 45.0, 44.4, 39.9, 38.2, 32.6, 26.4, 9.4.

 (\pm) -3,3a β ,4,6,7,8,9-Heptahydro-1,8,8-trimethyl-2*H*-cyclopentacyclooctene-2,5-dione (23). Vinyllithium (1.3 mL, 1.03 mmol) was added to a -78 °C THF (20 mL) solution of 13b (249 mg, 0.94 mmol), and after 30 min the solution was warmed to rt. TIPSOTf (0.27 mL, 1.03 mmol) was added after an additional 30 min. After 1.5 h, the mixture was cooled to 0 °C, and K₂CO₃ in MeOH (10 mL of a 0 °C saturated solution prepared from 138 mg of K₂CO₃ and 31 mL of anhydrous MeOH) was added. The mixture was warmed to rt over 18 h. TBAF (0.9 mL, 1.0 mmol) was added, and after 45 min the mixture was poured onto brine (20 mL) and water (10 mL), and the separated aqueous layer was extracted with ether. The combined organics were washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography (3:1 to 2:1 hexanes/EtOAc) provided 23 (119 mg, 68%) as a white solid (mp, 89-90 °C), followed by 15b (29 mg, 14%). Spectral data for **15b** were identical to those cited in the literature.^{5b} Spectral data for **23** follows: ¹H NMR δ 3.06–3.04 (m, 1H), 2.76–2.66 (m, 2H), 2.63-2.53 (m, 2H), 2.44 (d, J = 13.9 Hz, 1H), 2.39(ddd, J = 16.3, 6.8, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.07 (dd, J = 18.7, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.00–1.94 (m, 2H), 1.73 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 3H), 1.57 (dd, J = 15.1, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.03 (s, 3H); 13 C NMR δ 213.9, 207.5, 171.0, 140.8, 43.8, 43.6, 41.8, 41.4, 40.8, 37.5, 36.0, 31.4, 28.7, 10.0; IR (film) 1695, 1634 cm⁻¹; HRMS (isobutane CI) calcd for C14H20O2 220.1463, obsd 220.1462.

Preparation of 17b from 23. To a MeOH (anhydrous, 27 mL) solution of **23** (119 mg, 0.54 mmol) was added NaOMe (146 mg, 2.7 mmol) in a single portion. The solution was heated at reflux for 2 h. Workup according to the procedure described for **18c** and chromatography (3:1 hexanes/EtOAc) and concentration provided **17b** (111 mg, 93%, 2:1 mixture of diastereomers) as a yellow-green oil. The spectral data for these diastereomers were identical to those reported above.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Institutes of Health (Grant GM-36312) for financial support of this work and Smith-Kline Beecham for a generous gift of squaric acid.

Supporting Information Available: ¹³C NMR spectral data for all new compounds and characterization data for compounds **10d, f, 11a, e, f, 13d-f, 15a, d-g, 16b-d, 17a-h, 18a-d, 19, 20, 23**, and **24**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO9907686